

Applied and Computational Mechanics 1 (2007) 445 - 452



Reliability analysis of beam on elastic nonlinear foundation

K. Frydrýšek^{*a*, *}

^a Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, VŠB-Technical University, 17. listopadu 15, 708 33 Ostrava, Czech Republic

Received 5 September 2007; received in revised form 9 October 2007

Abstract

This paper is focused on the solution of simple beam continually supported by elastic (Winkler's) foundation. The foundation contains longitudinal nonlinearity. For the calculation of displacements and bending stresses are used analytical procedures (approximate solution in the form of polynomial function) and probabilistic approaches (SBRA method, Monte Carlo Simulation Method, AntHill software). Probabilistic approach includes influences of variability of load, shape and material of the beam, and variability of modulus of the foundation. Probabilistic approach is used for the reliability expertise of the beam and calculation of safety. © 2007 University of West Bohemia. All rights reserved.

Keywords: beams, elastic foundation, longitudinal nonlinearity, probability, SBRA method, reliability

1. Introduction

The analysis of bending of beams on an elastic foundation is developed on the assumption that the strains are small and the reaction forces $q_R = q_R(x) / Nm^{-1}/$ in the foundation are proportional at every point to the deflection v = v(x) / m/ of the beam at that point, etc. (first proposed by E. Winkler, Prague 1867), see fig.1.



Fig. 1. Element of a Beam on Elastic Foundation.

External loads on the beam also evoke bending moment M_o /Nm/, axial (normal) force N/N/ and shearing force T/N/, see fig.1.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +420 597324552, e-mail: karel.frydrysek@vsb.cz.

The general problem is described by ordinary differential equation:

$$\frac{d^{4}v}{dx^{4}} - \frac{N}{EJ_{ZT}}\frac{d^{2}v}{dx^{2}} + \frac{\beta}{GA}\frac{d^{2}q_{R}}{dx^{2}} + \frac{q_{R}}{EJ_{ZT}} = \frac{1}{EJ_{ZT}}\left(q - \frac{dm}{dx}\right) + \frac{\beta}{GA}\frac{d^{2}q}{dx^{2}} - \frac{\alpha}{h}\frac{d^{2}(t_{2} - t_{1})}{dx^{2}}, \quad (1)$$

where: E / Pa/ is modulus of elasticity in tension of the beam, $J_{ZT} = \int_{A} y^2 dA / m^4/$ is the major principal second moment of area $A / m^2/$ of the beam cross-section, $\beta/1/$ is shear deflection constant of the beam, G / Pa/ is modulus of elasticity in torsion of the beam, $q / Nm^{-1}/$ is distributed load (intensity of force), m / N/ is distributed couple (intensity of moment), $\alpha_t / deg^{-1}/$ is coefficient of thermal expansion of the beam, h / m/ is depth of the beam and $t_2 - t_1 / deg/$ is transversal temperature increasing in the beam. For more information about the derivation of eq. (1), see reference [1].

In the most situations, the influences of normal force, shear force and temperature can be neglected (or the beam is not exposed to them). Hence, from eq. (1) follows:

$$\frac{d^4v}{dx^4} + \frac{q_R}{EJ_{ZT}} = \frac{1}{EJ_{ZT}} \left(q - \frac{dm}{dx} \right) .$$
(2)

From the Winkler's theory, see [1] or [5], is evident that:

$$q_{\rm R} = v \times k(x) , \qquad (3)$$

$$k(x) = \mathbf{b} \times K(x) , \qquad (4)$$

where: k(x) /Pa/ is stiffness of the foundation and K(x) /Nm⁻³/ is modulus of the foundation which can be expressed as functions of variable x /m/ (i.e. longitudinal nonlinearity in the foundation) and b /m/ is width of the beam. Hence, eq. (2) can be written in the form:

$$\frac{d^4v}{dx^4} + \frac{bK(x)}{EJ_{ZT}}v = \frac{1}{EJ_{ZT}}\left(q - \frac{dm}{dx}\right).$$
(5)

2. Example of General Solution (Derivation)

Let us consider the short straight beam on elastic nonlinear foundation, see fig.2. The beam of length L/m/ with free ends is exposed to one vertical force F /N/, i.e. other loads



Fig. 2. Solved Example of the Beam on Elastic Nonlinear Foundation.

q and *m* are zero. Modulus of the foundation is given by linear function:

$$K(x) = K_0 + \frac{K_L - K_0}{L} x = K_0 + K_1 x , \qquad (6)$$

Hence, in this case differential eq. (5) can be written in the form:

$$\frac{d^4 v}{dx^4} + \frac{(K_0 + K_1 x)b}{EJ_{ZT}}v = 0 .$$
(7)

The approximate solution can be found in the form of polynomial function of 6th order:

$$v = v(x) \approx b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{b_i}{i} x^i$$
, (8)

where: $b_0 / m/$, : $b_1 / 1/$, ..., : $b_6 / m^{-5}/$ are unknown constants.

Equation (8) must satisfy the basic boundary conditions (at the point x = 0: $M_o(x = 0) = 0$, T(x = 0) = 0 and at the point x = L: $M_o(x = L) = 0$ and T(x = L) = F).

Force equation of equilibrium can be also satisfied, i.e. equation:

$$\int_{0}^{L} q_{R} dx = \int_{0}^{L} k(x) v dx = \int_{0}^{L} b(K_{0} + K_{1}x) \left(b_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{b_{i}}{i} x^{i} \right) dx = \int_{0}^{L} \left(k_{0} + k_{1}x \right) \left(b_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{b_{i}}{i} x^{i} \right) dx = F ,$$

where: $k_0 = K_0 b / Pa/$ is the stiffness in the foundation at the point x = 0 and $k_1 = K_1 b = \frac{K_L - K_0}{I} b / Nm^{-3}/$ is the slope of a given linear function k(x).

From the above five conditions can be expressed constants b_0 and b_2 , ..., b_5 as functions of two constants b_1 and b_6 .

The last two constants (i.e. b_1 and b_6) can be derived via variational principles or via satisfaction of differential equation (7) at chosen points. For more details about it see [2].

The auxiliary constants $\overline{A} / N^2 m^{-1} / \overline{B} / N^2 / \overline{C} / N^{-3} m^{-2} / and remaining polynomial constants (<math>b_1$ and b_6) are derived in the Tab.1.

$$\begin{aligned} k_{0} &= K_{0}\mathbf{b} \quad k_{1} = K_{1}\mathbf{b} = \frac{K_{L} - K_{0}}{L}\mathbf{b} \quad b_{6} = -2\overline{C} \bigg[840\overline{B}k_{1} + (21k_{0} + 11k_{1}L)\overline{A} \bigg] \\ \overline{C} &= \frac{F}{15EJ_{ZT}L^{2} \bigg(560EJ_{ZT} \bigg[6k_{0}(k_{0} + k_{1}L) + k_{1}^{2}L^{2} \bigg] + 3\overline{A}(2k_{0} + k_{1}L)L \bigg)} \quad K(x) = K_{0} + K_{1}x \\ b_{1} &= \frac{\overline{C}}{6} \bigg[604800\overline{B}EJ_{ZT} - 180(41k_{0}k_{1}L + 9k_{1}^{2}L^{2} + 38k_{0}^{2})EJ_{ZT}L^{4} + (3k_{0} + 2k_{1}L)\overline{A}L^{5} \bigg] \\ \overline{B} &= EJ_{ZT}(k_{1}L + 3k_{0}) \qquad \overline{A} = k_{0}(k_{0} + k_{1}L)L^{3} \end{aligned}$$

Tab. 1. Solved Example (Auxiliary Constants and Used Polynomial Constants).

The analytical results (i.e. v, slope of the beam $\frac{dv}{dx}$ /rad/, M_o and T) are written in tab.2.

	$M_{o} = -EJ_{ZT} \frac{d^{2}v}{dx^{2}} \approx \frac{Fx^{2}(x-L)}{L^{2}} - 5EJ_{ZT}x^{2}(x-L)^{2}b_{6}$					
	$X T = -EJ_{ZT} \frac{d^{3}v}{dx^{3}} \approx 10EJ_{ZT} x (3xL - 2x^{2} - L^{2})b_{6} + \frac{Fx(3x - L^{2})}{L^{2}}b_{6} + \frac{Fx(3x - L^{2})}{L^{2}}b_{7} + \frac{Fx(3x - L^{2})}{L^{2}}b_{7} + \frac{Fx(3x - L^{2})}{L^{2}}b_{6} + \frac{Fx(3x - L^{2})}{L^{2}}b_{7} + \frac{Fx(3x - L^{2}$					
$Y \bigvee K = K(x)$ $K_0 \begin{cases} K = K(x) \\ K = K(x) \\ K = K(x) \end{cases}$	$\frac{dv}{dx} \approx b_1 + \frac{x^3 (10L^2 - 15xL + 6x^2)}{6} b_6 + \frac{Fx^3 (10L^2 - 15xL + 6x^2)}{12R} b_6 + Fx^3 (10L^2 - 15xL$			$\frac{(4L-3x)}{EJ_{ZT}L^2}$		
$k(x) = \mathbf{b} \times K(x)$	$K(x) = K_0 + K_1 x$	$k_0 = K_0$	b	$x \in (0; L)$		
$\frac{1}{1} x \approx b_{x} x + \frac{k_{0} x^{4} (5L^{2} - 6xL + 2x^{2}) - 120 E J_{ZT} L^{2}}{b_{x} + \frac{F[k_{0} x^{4} (5L - 3x) - 120 E J_{ZT} L]}{b$						
$12k_0 \qquad \qquad 60k_0EJ_{ZT}L^2$						
Constants b_1 and b_6 are c	lerived in Tab.1.		$k_1 = K_1 \mathbf{b} = \frac{H}{2}$	$\frac{K_{\rm L}-K_{\rm 0}}{\rm L}{\rm b}$		

Tab. 2. Solved Example (Analytical Results of the Beam on Elastic Nonlinear Foundation).

The accuracy of the derived results (tab. 2) was also checked by ANSYS software. However, the derived results fits very well for short beams (i.e. for the situations when the length of the beam $L \le 2$ m). For longer beams must be used higher approximation, i.e. function: $v = v(x) \approx b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i}{i} x^i$, where $n \ge 7$.

3. Probability Analysis of the Beam

Deterministic approach (i.e. all inputs are constant) is the elder but simple way how to get the solution of mechanical systems. However, the deterministic approach cannot trully includes variability of all inputs.

But this example is solved via probabilistic approach (i.e. all inputs are given by bounded (truncated) histograms) which is the modern and new trend of the solution of mechanical systems.



Fig. 3. Histogram of Input Parameter b /m/.

Fig. 4. Histogram of Input Parameter h /m/.

Probability analysis (see [7] and [8]) of the presented beam (see fig.2) includes influences of variability of "I" shape (b = $0.09 \pm 9 \times 10^{-4}$ /m/, h = $0.2 \pm 2 \times 10^{-3}$ /m/, $J_{ZT} = 2.16 \times 10^{-5} \pm 6.5 \times 10^{-7}$ /m⁴/), material: (E = $1.8 \times 10^{11} \pm 9 \times 10^{9}$ /Pa/, yield stress $R_{\rm e} = 162.361 \times 10^{11} + 77.587 - 43.345$ /MPa/), load (F = $157324.2^{+168773.1}_{-75524.2}$ /N/) and modulus of the foun-

K. Frydrýšek / Applied and Computational Mechanics 1 (2007) 445 - 452

dation: $(K_0 = 1.125 \times 10^{11} \pm 3.375 \times 10^{10} / \text{Nm}^{-3})$, $K_L = 1.125 \times 10^{11} \pm 3.375 \times 10^{10} / \text{Nm}^{-3})$, see fig.4 to 10 (i.e. inputs for AntHill software, Simulation-Based Reliability Assessment (SBRA) Method).



Fig. 5. Histogram of Input Parameter J_{ZT} /m⁴/.

Fig. 6. Histogram of Input Parameter E /Pa/.

Variable: Re	Recalculate	Discrete Steps:5 Probability	Quantile	Variable: F	•	🗖 Recalculate	Discrete Probability	Steps: 5000000 Anthill Quantile
Minimum: 119.01560400 Mean: 162.76576900	Maximum: 239.94823030 StDeviation: 15.64655005	0.1	143.85435210	Minimum: 81800.00000 Mean: 150019 1117	00 Maximum: 00 StDeviatio	326097.254900 34665.8636700	0.1	96538.5693500
CoVar: 0.09612924 Variance: 244.81452850 Skewnes: 0.33632397 Kurtosis: 1.22446938	0.5	174.48649510	CoVar: 0.23107632 Skewnes: 0.32172875	Variance: Kurtosis:	Variance: 1201722104.00 Kurtosis: 1.13916326	0.8	168110.946200	
Median: 162.36116880		0.95	187.89987160	Median: 157324.2092	200		0.95	200884.359200
ļ								
120 15	0 180	210		90000 14	0000	190000	240000	290000

Fig. 7. Histogram of Input Parameter $R_{\rm e}$ /MPa/.

Fig. 8. Histogram of Input Parameter F /N/.



Fig. 9. Histogram of Input Parameter K_0 /Nm⁻³/.

Fig. 10. Histogram of Input Parameter $K_{\rm L}$ /Nm⁻³/.

The values of results parameters (i.e. stiffness of the foundation k(x), displacement v(x), maximal displacement $v_{MAX} = v(x = L)$, bending stress $\sigma(x)$ and maximal bending stress

 $\sigma_{\text{MAX}} = \sigma(x \approx 0.63) = \frac{|M_{o \text{MAX}}|}{W_o} = \frac{|M_{o \text{MAX}}|h}{2J_{ZT}}) \text{ were calculated for } 5 \times 10^6 \text{ simulations by}$

Monte Carlo Method. Results are plotted by histograms in the following Figures 11 to 14 and Tab.3.



K. Frydrýšek / Applied and Computational Mechanics 1 (2007) 445 - 452



Fig. 14. Histograms of Output Parameters: a) $v_{MAX} = v(x = L = 0.9 \text{ m})$, b) $\sigma_{MAX} = \sigma(x \approx 0.63 \text{ m})$.

Output Variables:	Minimum:	Median:	Maximum:	See Figures:
k(x)/Pa/	701718088	1012476677	1326315441	11
v _{MAX} /mm/	3.75×10^{-1}	8.62×10^{-1}	2.31	12 and 14a)
$\sigma_{_{ m MAX}}$ /MPa/	39.31	79.74	173.57	13 and 14b)

Tab. 3. Solved Example (Results of AntHill Software).

Hence, from the presented results is evident that maximal displacement is at the right end of the beam (i.e. at the point x = L = 0.9 m) and maximal stress is at the point $x \approx 0.63$ m.

Probability analysis can be also used for reliability expertise of the beam (AntHill software, SBRA Method). Hence, the function of safety $F_{\rm S}$ (reliability factor) is defined by:

$$F_s = R_e - \sigma_{\text{MAX}} , \qquad (9)$$

see also fig.15 and 16. Hence, it is evident that the safe situation occurs when $F_S > 0$ (i.e. yield stress R_e is greater than maximal bending stress σ_{MAX}).



Fig. 15. Histogram of Output Parameters F_s /MPa/.



Fig. 16. 2D Histogram of Output Parameters For Calculation of F_s .

The above function of safety F_S was analyzed by Anthill software. Hence, the probability that $F_S \le 0$ is 9.3571×10^{-4} (i.e. the yield stress and plastic deformations will be reached with

a probability of 9.3571×10^{-4}). In other words, $9.3571 \times 10^{-4} \approx 0.094\%$ of all states will result in yielding.

4. Conclusion

General solution for the chosen beam on nonlinear elastic foundation was derived in the form of polynomial function of 6th order. Derived results were used for probabilistic analysis (SBRA method, Monte Carlo Simulation Method, Anthill software).

Finally, the probability that the plastic deformations occurs in the beam is 0.094%. Figure 16 shows distribution of yield stress versus maximal bending stresses and calculation of safety, which is 99.906%.

Another examples of the applications of SBRA method are shown in references [2] and [3], [4], [6], [7] and [8].

Another examples of the solutions of the beams on nonlinear elastic foundation are shown in references [2] and [5].

Acknowledgements

The work has been supported by the grant project GACR 103/07/0557.

References

- [1] K. Frydrýšek, Nosníky a rámy na pružném podkladu 1 (Beams and Frames on Elastic Foundation 1), VŠB-TU Ostrava, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Ostrava, 2006, CZ, pp.463.
- [2] K. Frydrýšek, Nosníky a rámy na pružném podkladu 2 (Beams and Frames on Elastic Foundation 2) sylabus, VŠB-TU Ostrava, CZ.
- [3] K. Frydrýšek, Solution of the Simple Bridge on Elastic Foundation via Probabilistic Approach, In: Book of extended abstracts, 9th International Scientific Conference Applied Mechanics 2007, Department of Mechanics of Materials, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, VŠB-Technical University of Ostrava, Ostrava, CZ, 2007, pp.79-80 (full version also on CD).
- [4] K. Frydrýšek, L. Václavek, Solution of the Beams on Elastic Foundation (Deterministic and Probabilistic Approach) In: Book of Extended Abstracts, National Conference with International Participation: "Engineering Mechanics 2006", Institute of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha 2006, Czech Republic, pp. 70-71. (full version on CD).
- [5] M. Hetényi, Beams on Elastic Foundation, Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Studies, USA, 1946, pp.245.
- [6] P. Marek, J. Brozzetti, Guštar M., Probabilistic Assessment of Structures Using Monte Carlo Simulation Background, Exercises and Software, ITAM CAS, Prague, Czech Republic, pp.471.
- [7] P. Marek, K. Frydrýšek, Reliability Analysis of a Beam on Elastic Foundation, In: Book of extended abstracts, 9th International Scientific Conference Applied Mechanics 2007, Department of Mechanics of Materials, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, VŠB-Technical University of Ostrava, Ostrava, CZ, 2007, pp.159-160 (full version also on CD).
- [8] L. Václavek, Analytické výpočtové modely, teorie druhého řádu a pravděpodobnostní posudek spolehlivosti konstrukcí, habilitační práce v oboru Aplikovaná mechanika, VŠB-TU Ostrava, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Ostrava, 2006, CZ, pp.137.